

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10966-2015(O&M)

Date of Decision : 27.05.2015

SHARDA JILOHA

...PETITIONER

VS

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr.Gaurav Sethi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The claim in the present petition is for the grant of family pension. Learned counsel states that in this connection the petitioner has got issued a legal notice dated 14.08.2013(Annexure P-4) on the respondents but no action has been taken thereon as yet. He states that at this stage the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notice in accordance with law within any reasonable time by passing a speaking order thereon.

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the legal notice(Annexure P-4) in accordance with law by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order and if the petitioner is found entitled to the benefits claimed, the same be released to her within a period of one month thereafter.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Miscellaneous Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

A copy of this order be handed over dasti to learned counsel for the petitioner on payment of usual charges.

(AJAY TEWARD)
JUDGE

May 26, 2015
sunita