

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.17241 of 2012
Date of decision: 04.08.2015

Sukhbir Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Cheema, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel concedes that the matter in issue is indeed covered by the decisions rendered by this court i.e. Annexures P8 to P12. And thus, the matter in hand needs to be allowed in same terms. He submits that the consequential decision shall be taken within two months from today and thereafter a letter of appointment will be issued to the petitioner.

Allowed in same terms as Annexures P8 to P12.

August 4, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge