

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-10759-2015(O&M)
Date of Decision:26.05.2015**

Pritam Chand

....Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr.Harinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The claim in the present petition is that junior to the petitioner has been promoted and despite the fact that the petitioner issued a legal notice dated 17.02.2014 (Annexure P-4), no action has been taken thereon. Learned counsel states that at this stage the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notice in accordance with law.

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice(Annexure P-4) of the petitioner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order in accordance with law by passing a speaking order thereon.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)

JUDGE

May 26, 2015

sunita