

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10754 of 2015

Date of Decision:26/05/2015

Gurmeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

The Punjab State Warehousing Corporation and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Vijay Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Vide order dated 17.3.2009 (Annexure P-6), the representation of the petitioner seeking promotion to the post of Section Officer was rejected.

Against this order, the petitioner filed an appeal before respondent No.1. The appeal was filed on 21.9.2010 and even after the passage of about five years, the same remains undecided.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, I consider it just and appropriate to direct respondent No.1 to decide the appeal dated 21.9.2010 (Annexure P-7) filed by the petitioner against the order dated 17.3.2009 (Annexure P-6) by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

**26.05.2015
rajeev**