

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.13917-CAT of 2013
Date of Decision:- 28.04.2015.

Chandigarh Administration and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

The Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh
and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. D.S. Patwalia, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Salil Sabhlok, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr. O.S. Batalvi, Advocate for
respondent Nos.3 and 4.

None for respondent No.5.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

1.) This writ petition has been preferred by Chandigarh Administration challenging the order dated 22.05.2013, passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh, whereby, Original Application filed by respondent No.2 (Dr. Alka Sehgal) has been allowed with certain directions to consider her case

for proper promotion. As stated by Sh. Sanjeev Sharma, Senior Standing Counsel for the petitioners that respondent No.2 has since been promoted as Professor on 27.03.2015, hence, the issues raised in this writ petition no longer survive.

2.) The writ petition, as prayed for, is disposed of as having become infructuous.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

April 28, 2015.

sandeep sethi