

**130 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-10694-2015(O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.05.2015**

K.K. Jain

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Raman B. Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The claim in the present petition is for consideration for the grant of ACP grade pay. Learned counsel states that the petitioner has retired and at this stage he would be satisfied if he is permitted to move a self contained representation to the respondent No.1 and respondent No.1 be directed to decide the same within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly it is directed that in case the petitioner moves a self contained representation the same shall be decided by the respondent No.1 within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order thereon and if the petitioner is found entitled to any relief, grant the same to him within a period of one month thereafter.

Petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc.
Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

May 25, 2015
sunita