

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-10536-2015(O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.05.2015**

ISHWAR DUTT AND OTHERS

....PETITIONERS

VS

**UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM
LTD. AND OTHERS**

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr.Jayoti Parshad Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The petitioners were engaged as Assistant Linemen on daily wages but were retrenched on the ground of being overage along with some other persons. Some of those challenged their retrenchment before this Court by way of CWP No. 3797 of 2011 wherein the respondents made a statement that the petitioners in the said writ petition would be allowed to join their services. The present petitioners being similarly situated served demand/legal notice dated 23.02.2015(Annexure P-3) upon the respondents to allow them also to join service on the same terms and conditions but the same has not been decided as yet. He further states that at this stage the petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notice within any reasonable time by passing a

speaking order thereon.

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice(Annexure P-3) of the petitioners within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order in accordance with law by passing a speaking order thereon.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

May 25, 2015
sunita