

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

DATE OF DECISION: MAY 25, 2015

Gurdial Singh Saini

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Panjab University, Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court, impugning the order dated 29.10.2013 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Dean Student Welfare vide which, on the basis of a complaint received from Dr.Gurmeet Singh, Assistant Professor, Department of Physical Education, through Vice-Chancellor, he alongwith another person has been debarred from entering University Sports Ground between 6.00 a.m. to 8.00 a.m. and 4.00 p.m. to 7.00 p.m.

It is the contention of counsel for the petitioner that prior to passing of the said order, petitioner has not been given any opportunity of being heard and he alongwith one Sh.Rupinder Singh Saini has been debarred from entering the University Sports Ground for the times as have been specified therein, which is in violation of their civil rights.

On a question being asked by this Court as to what right do the petitioner has to enter the University Sports Ground, the counsel contends that at one point of time, the petitioner was a Coach with the Department of Physical Education. That itself would not be a ground for asserting that the petitioner has acquired a right to enter the University Sports Ground. The University Sports Ground is meant for the sport persons of the University and no person has a right to enter into the area without proper permission from the competent authority. Petitioner, thus, has no right to interfere with the working of the University or its Sports Department merely because at one stage he was Coach in the Department of Physical Education, which had nothing to do with the University.

Otherwise also, the writ petition is without any basis as the order impugned is of the year 2013, which cannot be interfered with at this belated stage.

Thus, finding no merit in the writ petition, the same stands dismissed.

May 25, 2015
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE