

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 10480 of 2015
Date of decision: May 25, 2015

Ram Babu and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A MIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. A. K. Singh Goyat, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (Oral).

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court Mr. Keshav Gupta, AAG., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioner shall supply five copies of the paper book to the State counsel.

The matter has already been deliberated in CWP No. 13555 of 2013 decided on 2.7.2013. Learned counsel for the petitioner has handed over copy of the judgment to this Court. In the aforementioned writ petition the petitioners therein, who had worked on contractual basis were directed to be re-engaged as Canal Patwaris in terms of the outsourcing policy till such time the regular recruitment is made in view of the law laid down by Hon'ble the

Supreme Court in **Hargurpratap Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007**

(13) SCC 292.

The writ petition is disposed of in terms of CWP No. 13555 of 2013 decided on 2.7.2013. The respondents are directed to appoint the petitioner as Canal Patwari in terms of the outsourcing policy till such time the regular appointment is made.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

May 25, 2015
archana