

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10345 of 2015
Date of decision: 21.05.2015

Silky and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Ms. Kriteka Sheokand, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners having argued the matter at some length submits that since prior to the institution of this petition, petitioners had represented to the respondents vide representations dated 22.04.2014 and 04.03.2015 (Annexure P-5 Colly.), let this petition, at this stage, be disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners within a stipulated period of time by passing a speaking order.

That being so, and without expressing any opinion on merits, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners, as set out in the afore-indicated two representations strictly in accordance with law, within a period of 3 months from the receipt of certified copy of this

order. Needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed, assigning reasons in support of the decision arrived at.

May 21, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge