

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
2015.01.22 10:34
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

**CWP No.11025 of 2014
Date of decision:20.01.2015**

Dr.Ajay Jangra

...Petitioner

Versus

Kurukshetra University and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.S.S.Grewal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.A.S.Virk, Advocate for respondents No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Manoj Kumar Sangwan, DAG, Haryana,
for respondent No.4.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Written statement, filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 today in the Court, is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the University refers to a communication dated 17.2.2014 (Annexure R-5), addressed to the petitioner, seeking information from him so as to enable the competent authority to consider his claim, which is being pursued by him by way of instant writ petition. He further submits that instead of supplying the information sought by the respondent-University, petitioner filed the present writ petition. He submits that let the petitioner supply the requisite information sought from him vide Annexure R-5 within a period of four weeks from today and thereafter the matter shall be considered by the competent authority within a reasonable time.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner also fairly states that he has got no objection to the proposal put-forth by the learned counsel for the respondent-University. He submits that petitioner shall supply the requisite information sought from him vide Annexure R-5 within a period of four weeks from today and the competent authority may be directed to consider and decide the matter at an early date.

Considering the above-said respective stands taken by the learned counsel for the parties, instant writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to the petitioner to supply the requisite information sought from him by the respondent-University vide communication dated 17.2.2014 (Annexure R-5), within a period of four weeks from today. Thereafter, the competent authority of the respondent-University shall consider and decide the matter at an early date by passing an appropriate order, strictly in accordance with law but in any case within a period of two months from the date of receipt of information from the petitioner.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

20.01.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE