

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5908 of 2009 (O&M)
Date of decision : 23.03.2015

Raj Pal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Partap Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

CM No.5434-CWP of 2013

Prayer in the application is for early hearing of the writ petition.

Application stands allowed.

Writ petition is taken on board. .

Main Case

Learned counsel for the State submits that relief sought in the writ petition has been rendered infructuous in view of the order dated 25.05.2014 passed by the respondents, whereby the petitioner's grievance has been vindicated.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State,
the writ petition is rendered infructuous.

Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, learned counsel for the petitioner has informed the Court that vide order dated 25.05.2014, the petitioner has not been granted relief with respect to year 2004 whereas the claim has been given from year 2006 and liberty be granted to make representation in this regard.

Representation, if any, filed on behalf of the petitioner shall be decided by the respondent within four months from the receipt of certified copy of the order. In case, respondent intends to grant the relief to the petitioner, the relief shall be granted within two months thereafter. In case, the respondent decides otherwise, then petitioner be communicated by passing a reasoned and speaking, with the aforementioned direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

23.03.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**