

118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-10287-2015(O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.05.2015

Pushpa Rani

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respomndents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr.Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The claim of the petitioner in the present petition is for regularisation in view of the policy dated 07.07.2014(Annexure P-1). Learned counsel states that the case of the petitioner along with others was recommended by respondent No.2 vide Annexure P-2 and was sent to the Government for necessary action but no action has been taken thereon as yet. Learned counsel states that at this stage the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.1 to take a decision on the said recommendation within any reasonable time by passing a speaking order thereon.

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to take a decision on the recommendation (Annexure P-2)

of the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order in accordance with law by passing a speaking order thereon.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

May 21, 2015
sunita