

PANKAJ KUMAR
2015-01-29 12:26

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.1015 of 2015
Date of Decision.21.01.2015**

Sewak SinghPetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

Present: Mr. Arun Chandra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner cannot feel aggrieved about the order where even the amount for maintenance is not spelt out. All that the appellate authority says is that the up-to-date maintenance must be given. The petitioner ought not to have feel aggrieved about the order. If at all, it is only the mother who secured such an order could be aggrieved complaining that the order is vague.

2. There is no cause for intervention. The writ petition is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

January 21, 2015
Pankaj*