

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10124 of 2015  
Date of decision: 20.05.2015

Jaspal Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr. Varinder Shukla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

\*\*\*

**ARUN PALLI J.** (Oral)

Writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, so as to direct the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for regularization of his services, in terms of the Punjab Government policy dated 15.12.2006 (Annexure P1), policy dated 18.03.2011 (Annexure P2), read with policy dated 17.11.2011 (Annexure P3). It is averred that the petitioner is engaged with the respondent-department as daily wage worker since 01.03.1984 and is currently serving in Forest Nursery, Ajitwal, District Moga. He has rendered uninterrupted services since the date of his employment. With a view to regularize the services of daily wage employees, who had completed 10 years of service as on 10.04.2006, a policy dated 15.12.2006 (Annexure P1) was issued by the Government of Punjab. Subsequently, vide Government policy dated

18.03.2011 (Annexure P2) read with policy dated 17.11.2011 (Annexure P3), services were sought to be regularized of daily wage/work-charge/contractual employees, who had completed 3 years of service as on 01.04.2011 or subsequently on completion of 3 years of service, whichever is later. A Departmental Screening Committee was constituted to consider the cases of those daily wage employees, who were eligible in terms of the Government policy. Accordingly, the records of all such daily wage employees, who had rendered the services of 10 years as on 10.04.2006, were requisitioned from the field offices. Resultantly, respondent No.3 vide letter dated 16.04.2013 (Annexure P4), sent the name of the petitioner along with his service record to respondent No.2 for consideration of his claim. Though, meeting of the Departmental Screening Committee was slated to take place on 18.04.2013, but till date the petitioner has not been informed the status of his claim, as no formal order in this regard was ever communicated to him. Faced with this situation, he even served upon the respondents a legal notice dated 11.03.2015 (Annexure P5). But that too has not been heeded to.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition, at this stage, be disposed of only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the notice

(Annexure P5), served by the petitioner, within a specified time.

That being so, and without expressing any opinion on merits, this petition is disposed of only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as set out in his legal notice dated 11.03.2015 (Annexure P5), strictly in accordance with law, within a period of 2 months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. Though, needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed, assigning reasons in support of the decision arrived at.

May 20, 2015  
Rajan

**( Arun Palli )**  
**Judge**