

**123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-10076-2015(O&M)
Date of Decision: 19.05.2015**

I.D.PANDEY

.....PETITIONER

VS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr.Ravi Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner has challenged the action of the respondent NO.2- CBSE in not proceeding against respondent No.3 -school. Learned counsel states that in this connection the petitioner has got issued legal notice dated 28.01.2015(Annexure P-5) which has not been decided as yet. He further states that at this stage the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notice by passing a speaking order thereon.

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice(Annexure P-5) of the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order in a speaking manner.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc.
Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

May 19, 2015
sunita