

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 18917 of 2011
Date of Decision : 31.10.2015.

Vinay Arya

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2) *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3) *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Raj Kumar Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 to 4.

Paramjeet Singh, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondents No. 2 and 3 have already been removed from their respective posts and he does not want to press the instant petition as the same has become infructuous.

In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

However, petitioner will be at liberty to agitate the other grievances in appropriate proceedings.

October 31, 2015.
kanchan

(PARAMJEET SINGH)
JUDGE