

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No.1005 of 2015

Date of Decision : 15.05.2015

Amit Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Rajesh K. Kataria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner has challenged the recruitment of
Constable Male (GD) in Haryana Police at Panipat District.

The official respondents advertised 682 vacancies of Constable
Male (G.D.) on 01.07.2008. The physical test was conducted in the year
2009 and thereafter the interview was conducted in the year 2013. The
petitioner having been not selected he is before this Court.

The first argument of the learned counsel is that the Selection
Board consisted of only two DSPs whereas according to the rules one
Superintendent of Police should have been associated as a Chairman. A

perusal of document (Annexure P-2) reveals that the Superintendent of Police, Railways, Ambala Cantt. was in fact appointed as Chairman of the Selection Board-2 which conducted the selection of the petitioner. There is no averment that he did not participate in the selection procedure. In the circumstances, the first contention has to be repelled.

The second argument of the learned counsel is that the petitioner was Post-Graduate in English and was still given only 6½ marks out of 15. In the first place, I am not sure about the relation between Post-Graduation in English and good policing. Similar is the argument with respect to the association that the petitioner is a champion Taekwondo player. In the absence of any allegations of malafide, this Court can not substitute its opinion about the petitioner's prowess as compared to other candidates who are not before this Court.

The third argument is that the interview took place 4 years after the physical test. In my opinion, that by itself is no ground to set aside the selection, more so when the petitioner participated therein without any demur.

No other argument has been raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner. In the circumstances, the petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

May 15, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**