

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

CWP No.16337 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of decision:23.02.2015

Darshan Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. D.S. Rawat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Pratap Singh, AAG, Haryana.

.....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

Vide order dated 30.05.2012 passed by the Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad, a major penalty of dismissal from service was imposed upon the petitioner, who was serving as Head Constable under the Haryana Police. The appeal preferred by the petitioner against the order of dismissal was rejected by the Inspector General of Police, Hisar Range, Hisar on 08.08.2012 (Annexure P-6).

The instant writ petition has been filed impugning the order of dismissal as also the order passed by the appellate authority rejecting the appeal.

During the course of arguments, it has gone uncontroverted that the petitioner has preferred a revision petition dated 14.08.2012 (Annexure P-15) before the Director General of Police, Haryana and the same has not been decided till date.

Counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that he would be satisfied, if the instant writ petition were to be disposed of without

going in to the merits of the order of dismissal at this stage and by issuing directions to the Director General of Police, Haryana to take a final decision on the revision petition expeditiously.

Learned State counsel has no objection to such course of action being followed.

As such, without examining the validity of the impugned orders of dismissal as also that passed by the appellate authority, the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 i.e. the Director General of Police, Haryana to consider the revision filed by the petitioner dated 14.08.2012 at Annexure P-15 strictly in accordance with law and take a final decision thereupon within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

It would be in the fitness of things, if prior to passing of a final order on the revision petition, the petitioner is afforded an opportunity of personal hearing as well.

Disposed of.

23.02.2015

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**