

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

233

Crl. Writ Petition No.956 of 2015

Date of decision: 01.09.2015

Shanti Devi

....Petitioner

versus

State

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: By post.

Mr. Rupam Aggarwal, DAG, Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

A written complaint dated 18.06.2015 sent by Shanti Devi was treated as petition on judicial side.

Notice of motion was issued on 29.06.2015.

In response thereto, reply by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Guru Har Sahai, District Ferozepur has been filed which is already on record.

Learned State counsel, on the basis of reply, submits that the son of the petitioner was arrested in case FIR No.64 dated 15.04.2011 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC and 25/54/59 of Arms Act at Police Station City Mansa, District Mansa. He also submits that challan has been presented against him and now after framing of charge, he is facing trial.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Harnek Singh, submits that total three cases are pending against son of the petitioner and at present, he is on bail.

In view of the submissions made by learned State counsel and the stand taken in the reply, there is no merit in the present petition and the same being devoid of any merit is hereby dismissed.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

September 01, 2015