

CRWP-872-2015

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-872-2015

Date of Decision: 06.07.2015

Ranjit Singh @ Gora

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present: Mr. Gagan Oberoi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S.Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus directing respondent Nos.1 to 4 to release respondent No.5- Kewal Krishan, father of the petitioner, from their illegal detention.

Vide order dated 03.06.2015, notice of motion was issued and Warrant Officer was directed to submit report.

In compliance of order dated 03.06.2015, Warrant Officer has submitted report and a relevant extract of the same reads as under:

“....Alleged detainee Kewal Krishan was found outside the main gate of C.I.A.-I Staff Ludhiana who was identified by the petitioner.

.....

Alleged detainee Kewal Krishan stated that he

CRWP-872-2015

was detained there illegally in C.I.A.-I Staff since 3.00 PM of 02.06.2015 and was released that day i.e. 03.06.2015 at 5.00 PM and had come there to collect his mobile phone No.9780122321 and an amount of Rs.510/- (Five Hundred and Ten Only) which the C.I.A.-I staff had kept with them allegedly at the time of conducting his personal search. Sentry Constable Amit Kumar No.3221 and ASI Sh. Parveen stated that detainee Kewal Krishan was never confined in that C.I.A.-I Staff as alleged and had only come there about one hour earlier of my reaching there. ASI Sh. Praveen stated that detainee Kewal Krishan was not wanted to them in any case. Notice was served upon him as Respondent No.5. Detainee Kewal Krishan was got released from there and went away from there. MHC Ranjiv Kumar received notices on behalf of Respondents No.2 to 4. After that I along with the petitioner left the C.I.A.staff Ludhiana. The petitioner also furnished a writing to this effect which is added herewith for perusal.”

In view of the report, instant petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

Petitioner will be at liberty to avail the remedy in accordance with law.

06.07.2015
parveen kumar

(Paramjeet Singh)
Judge