

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.868 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : 10.6.2015

By post

Sampooran Singh

.. Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bhardwaj, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

In response to the complaint received by post which was treated as a petition for habeas corpus affidavit dated 10.6.2015 of Gurpreet Singh PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Sangrur has been filed in Court, which is taken on record. It has been stated therein that against the complainant Sampooran Singh son of Gurbachan Singh, there are six FIRs registered, which are as under:-

1. FIR No.69 dated 7.5.2014 under Section 21 Mines and Minerals Development and Regulation Act, 1957 (Central Act No.67 of 1957) and 379 IPC P.S. Bhawanigarh.
2. FIR No.118 dated 15.8.2014 under Section 21 Mines and Minerals Development and Regulation Act, 1957 (Central Act No.67 of 1957) and 379 IPC P.S. Bhawanigarh.
3. FIR No.73 dated 30.7.1999 under Section 379 IPC P.S. Bareta, District Mansa.
4. FIR No.205 dated 11.10.2014 under Section 21 Mines and Minerals Development and Regulation Act, 1957 (Central Act No.67 of 1957) and 379 IPC P.S. Machhiwara, Police District Khanna.
5. FIR No.248 dated 1.11.2014 under Section 21 Mines and Minerals Development and Regulation Act, 1957 (Central Act No.67 of 1957) and 379 IPC P.S. Samrala.
6. FIR No.66 dated 28.5.2015 under Section 21 Mines and Minerals Development and Regulation Act, 1957 (Central Act No.67 of 1957) and 379 IPC, P.S. Bhawanigarh.

It has been further stated in the affidavit that the names of Happy Singh and Razwan Singh have been wrongly mentioned. The correct names are M.P. Singh son of Kishan Lal and Ramzan Khan son of Bhim Khan. They were arrested on 28.5.2015 in FIR No.66 dated 28.5.2015 under Section 21 Mines and Minerals Development and Regulation Act, 1957 (Central Act No.67 of 1957) and 379 IPC, P.S. Bhawanigarh. In the same FIR even the complainant Sampooran Singh is also one of the accused.

ASI Subhash Kumar present in Court stated that there is no person in the name of Satnam Singh, who has either been taken in custody or required in any case pending with the police.

Considering the aforesaid factual matrix, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition.

Disposed of.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

10.6.2015

sharmila