

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 16200 of 2012.
Date of Decision : 07.12.2015.

Chain Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2) *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3) *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Chandumajra, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, Advocate for respondent No. 4.

Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate
for respondents No. 5 and 6.

Paramjeet Singh, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that it is a case of old age pension and the petitioner has already expired.

In view of the aforesaid submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

December 07, 2015.
kanchan

(PARAMJEET SINGH)
JUDGE