

CWP No. 10491 of 2014
Date of Decision: 23.4.2015

Naranjan Singh and another

---Petitioners

versus

Union of India and others

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ajay Kumar Mittal
Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr.Arun Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr.Raghujeet S.Madan, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 1 and 2

Ajay Kumar Mittal, J.

On April 09, 2014, learned counsel for respondents No. 1 and 2 by placing reliance upon Section 3G(6) of the National Highways Act, 1956 (in short “the Act”) had urged that the writ petition is not maintainable on account of the petitioners' having alternative remedy under the provisions of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996. However, learned counsel for the petitioners had sought time to seek instructions and address arguments.

2. Today, learned counsel for the petitioners states that he may be allowed to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to the petitioners to take recourse to the alternative remedy.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioners to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to them, in accordance with law.

(Ajay Kumar Mittal)
Judge

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

23.4.2015
PARAMJIT