

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.774 of 2015 (O&M)
Decided on : 27.05.2015**

Yamin

.... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & ors.

.... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Garg, AAG, Punjab.

MAHESH GROVER, J.(Oral)

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Pursuant to the notice having been issued, an inquiry was conducted by District Magistrate, Jalandhar and report by way of an affidavit has been submitted in Court today, which is taken on record.

The relevant portion of the report is extracted herebelow:

"During enquiry Surinder Singh, Labour Inspector accompanied him. It has revealed that the petitioner Yamin was not found present at the spot. However, his wife gave her statement that his husband has gone to Chandigarh for a urgent work. The labour mentioned at para 4 at Sr. No.1 to 3 of the writ petition gave their statement that they are going to village with their own will. They have also stated that they have no dispute with the owner of brick kiln regarding money and now they are going to their village with their family. They also stated that they are working on the brick kiln without any fear and threatening. It has also been reported that the Labour Inspector, Goraya demanded the record of labour, who worked in the Brick Kiln from the owner, but he failed to produce the record and accordingly, the Labour Inspector, Goraya took action as per labour rules against the Brick

Kiln owner. The report has revealed that case of bounded labour did not come to notice.”

In view of the above, when the facts have not been controverted by the counsel for the petitioner, who has not bothered to come to this court after filing of the petition, the instant petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

27.05.2015
sonia

(Mahesh Grover)
Judge