

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 18617 of 2011(O&M)**

**Date of decision : 01.05.2015**

**Sandeep Kumar**

**..... Petitioner**

**versus**

**State of Haryana and others**

**..... Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI**

**\*\*\***

Present: Mr. Atul Yadav , Advocate for the petitioner.  
Ms.Shruti Goyal, AAG, Haryana.  
Mr.Dinesh Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.3.

**\*\*\***

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)**

By this petition the petitioner claims two reliefs, firstly salary for the period during which he is supposed to have worked and secondly regularisation.

The facts are that the petitioner had been appointed as a Chowkidar in the Zila Parishad by order Annexure P-1 dated 06.05.2005 for 89 days. It is further alleged that he continued to work there but no salary was paid to him for two years and thereafter his services were illegally transferred to an outsourcing agency whereas he should have been placed directly under the Zila Parishad. In reply it was mentioned that the petitioner was never appointed after his initial

appointment of 89 days was over and in this connection a letter dated 07.11.2005 was written by the Additional Commissioner and Chief Executive Officer to respondent No.2 asking for permission to let the petitioner continue in the job for 89 days and also grant permission to pay him arrears of the salary after the expiry of his last time period. It is stated in the written statement that the petitioner changed the date of this letter to 07.01.2007 and presented it in the office of respondent No.2 and thereby got salary upto 07.01.2007. A complaint has been lodged with the police also for this forgery. It has been averred that not only the respondents have been defrauded, the petitioner has even overreached this Court by prevailing upon it to pass an order for granting him salary from 2005 to 2007 on a completely wrong and forged letter. It is further averred that in the year 2007 when services of Chowkidar were required the same were requisitioned through the outsourcing agency and the petitioner was working as such till 21.12.2012. No replication has been filed. Learned counsel for the petitioner is not in a position to deny the averments made in the affidavit.

In the circumstances there is no merit in this petition and the same is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

**(AJAY TEWARI)**  
**JUDGE**

**May 01, 2015**  
**sunita**