

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

232

CRWP-76-2015

Date of decision: 7.5.2015

By Post

RAKESH VERMA

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Rajesh Sheoran, Add.A.G, Haryana.

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?***
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?***
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.

Status report has been filed by Deputy Superintendent of Police (H) Panipat.

FIR No. 96 dated 2.2.2015 under Section 366 IPC was registered against one Gobind. Complainant alleged in her complaint that her daughter Puja was married two years ago and was living with the complainant for some time. Accused enticed her away with a view to sell her through some prostitution racket or to get her involved in prostitution or with other malafide intentions. Complaint was taken on judicial side.

On 30.3.2015, learned State counsel, on instructions from

Sub Inspector Krishan Kumar, stated that during the course of

investigation, said Gobind and her relatives were duly interrogated and were found innocent. When the police visited the house of complainant, she was not found present and was told by someone that she had gone to her native village in U.P. Thereafter, police visited U.P. and contacted the complainant in her village and found that whereabouts of the girl are still unknown. Complainant has been joined in investigation. According to status of investigation, the girl has not been recovered so far and the investigation is still in progress. Necessary steps have been taken in the form of making wide publication by means of electronic media.

In view of aforesaid, this petition is disposed of as there is no further scope. However, State may make sincere effort to investigate and facilitate recovery of the girl and to act in accordance with law thereafter.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 07, 2015
anita