

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

236

CRWP-690 of 2015

Date of Decision: May 28, 2015

Sarla

....Petitioner

VS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr. Chander Shekhar Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurinder Jit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (Oral)

Reply by way of an affidavit of the Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana, on behalf of respondent No.2, has been filed in Court today and the same is taken on record. A copy of the same has been furnished to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

In the reply, it has been categorically stated that a team duly constituted, had visited the brick kiln in question and it was found that nobody was working there as a bonded labourer.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner states that in the light of stand taken on behalf of the State, he does not want to press the instant petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

28.05.2015

anju rani