

111+233

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-175-2015,
CRM-176-2015 in/and
CRWP-688-2015
Date of decision : 17.07.2015**

Shesh Karan

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Varinder Singh Rana, Advocate for applicant-petitioner.
Ms. Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana for respondents.

DARSHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

CRM-175-2015

Heard.

Application is allowed.

CRM-176-2015

Heard.

In view of the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed. Documents annexure P-7 to annexure P-9 are taken on record subject to just exceptions.

CRWP-688-2015

It has been pointed out by learned counsel for the petitioner that the application moved by the petitioner for grant of parole has not been decided and the same is still pending.

As per the stand taken by respondents No.1 to 4 in the written reply, no such application filed by the petitioner has been received.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the present petition may be disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to move the fresh application to the jail authorities for grant of agricultural parole and they should dispose of the said application in view of the ratio of law laid down by the Division Bench of this Court in case **Sonu @ Arun Vs. State of Haryana and others CRWP No.1078-2014 decided on 09.02.2015.**

In view of the above, if the fresh application is moved by the petitioner for grant of agricultural parole, the same shall be disposed of in accordance with law, within a period of one month.

Disposed of accordingly.

17.07.2015
sunil yadav

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE