

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

114

CRWP-658-2015
Decided on : 13.05.2015

Darshna Devi and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present : Mr.Kanwar Ashwani Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Hari Pal Verma, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to recover Ram Murti, mother-in-law of the petitioner No.1 and the grand-mother of petitioners No.2 to 4.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in fact, Ram Murti has been picked up from her house by her eldest son, namely Rampal who is otherwise, staying separately from Ram Murti.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

Ram Murti, mother-in-law of petitioner No.1 has been brought in the Court by the petitioners and she has been identified by learned counsel for the petitioners. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that let she be protected from respondents No.4 to

Without commenting any opinion on the merits of the case, respondent No.3-Station House Officer/Incharge, P.S.Sambhu Tehsil Rajpura, District Patiala, is directed to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of Ram Murti.

Petition is disposed of.

[Hari Pal Verma]
Judge

13.05.2015
sd