

226

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

**CRM-M No.648 of 2015
Date of Decision:-14.05.2015**

BudhuPetitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and Ors.Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Chandra Shekhar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arshdeep S. Kler, D.A.G., Punjab.

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

In pursuance to the order dated 24.04.2015, Warrant Officer has given his report. Report reveals that the alleged detainees were living in kutcha houses built on the premises of brick kiln. On pointed query by the Warrant Officer, the alleged detainee said that they have been working at the brick kiln for the last 3-4 months and the owner of the brick kiln has failed to provide raw material for molding bricks as such he is not paying due wages to them. These persons were not willing to continue work there and wanted to go to their native home. The owner of brick kiln was telephonically informed by the Jamadar about the raid in question and he raised no objection if the alleged detainees wanted to go according to their choice. Thereafter,

the detenues left the premises along with their belongings in the presence of Warrant Officer in two vehicles. The Warrant Officer did not find any guarded place or any such premises in the brick kiln where the detenues could have been detained, rather the premises of the brick kiln was not having any fence or boundary wall and therefore, report has been submitted to the effect that no such inference can be drawn that the alleged detenues were detained illegally in the premises.

In view of the aforesaid report, no further action is called for. However, petitioner would be at liberty to take recourse to any other remedy if available to him in law.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 14, 2015
SwarnjitS