

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.599 of 2015.

Date of Decision:14.05.2015

Naresh

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Pirthi Singh Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Varun Sharma, AAG, Punjab

Mr. P.S. Rana, Advocate
for respondent No.4 to 6.

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

In compliance to order dated 20.04.2015, report by way of affidavit on behalf of respondent Nos.2 and 3 has been filed.

As per report filed by respondents, enquiry was conducted and the persons named in the petition were not found to be working as bounded labour nor were beaten or harassed by the brick kiln owner. The reply further reveals that the Deputy Superintendent of Police along with police party visited the premises in question and recorded common statements of brick kiln owner Vijant Bhandari, Ratan Pal Jamadar and Rohitash Kumar in which they have stated that Rajinder Kumar along with his wife Usha Rani and their son Rahu worked as a 'Pather' (maker of

CRWP No.599 of 2015

bricks) near to brick kiln. Usha Rani was bringing a timber for his house and at that time on the road she was injured in a car accident and Usha Rani along with her family was never confined or beaten up, rather owner of the brick kiln provided treatment from his own pocket.

In view of the aforesaid, no further orders are required to be passed in this criminal writ petition.

Petition stands dismissed. Petitioner would be at liberty to avail any other remedy available to him in accordance with law.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 14, 2015
SwarnjitS