

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO-229-M-2007

Date of Decision: 24.12.2015

INDU @ RAJNI BALA

.....Appellant

Vs

GULSHAN KUMAR JUNEJA

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA.
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL.**

Present: Mr. Jitender Chahar, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Ms. Monika Arora, Advocate,
for Mr. Pritam Saini, Adovocate,
for the respondent.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJIVE BHALLA, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the respondent states that the parties have resumed matrimony and are residing together as husband and wife.

Counsel for the appellant does not contest the correctness of the aforesaid fact but states that as parties are residing together as husband and wife, the appeal may be allowed and the judgment/decree of divorce may be set aside.

We have heard counsel for the parties and as parties are residing together, allow the appeal, set aside the judgement/decree of divorce dated 07.09.2007 and dismiss the petition for grant of divorce.

**[RAJIVE BHALLA]
JUDGE**

**[REKHA MITTAL]
JUDGE**