

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

246

CRWP-581-2015
Decided on : 24.04.2015

*Anil**... Petitioner**Versus**State of Punjab and others**... Respondents*

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present : None for the petitioner.
Mr.R.S.Nain, AAG, Punjab.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Hari Pal Verma, J. (Oral)

Pursuant to order dated April 13, 2015 of this Court, the Warrant Officer has submitted his report dated 14.04.2015. The report indicates that persons mentioned in paragraph No.2 of the petition were not interested to do the work at the brick kiln and all the persons have gone with the petitioner except Sr.No.13 to 18 who were not interested to go anywhere. Whereas person mentioned at Sr.No.25 (Sh.Kamal) had already gone in his village. The report further indicates that the alleged detenues were not guarded by anyone at the time of visit of the Warrant Officer.

Reply by way of short affidavit of District Magistrate, Rupnagar has also been filed. In reply, it is stated that during checking, it was found that all the labourers except mentioned at

Sr.No.13 to 18 were released by the Warrant Officer appointed by the High Court vide order dated 13.04.2015. The labourers mentioned at Sr.No.13 to 18 have made their statement that they have been working at this brick kiln since the last three months at their own will and they are paid wages timely.

In view of the report submitted by the Warrant Officer and short affidavit dated 23.04.2015, of District Magistrate, Rupnagar, no further action is required in the matter. The present criminal writ petition is accordingly, rendered infructuous.

[Hari Pal Verma]
Judge

24.04.2015
sd