

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Writ Petition No. 579 of 2015

Date of decision: 13.05.2015

Kulvir

----Petitioner(s)

V/s

State of Haryana & others

-----Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Kuldeep Chaudhary,, Advocate for the petitioner..

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Gaur, Advocate for
Mr. S.M. Sharma, Advocate for respondents No. 6 to 9.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction in the nature of habeas corpus for the release of the detenue, namely, Jaspreet wife of Kulvir, who was forcibly taken away by respondents No. 6 to 9 and has been kept in their illegal custody.

Learned State counsel has filed short reply by way of affidavit of Joginder Singh D.S.P. (AEC), Kaithal, on behalf of respondents No.3 to 5 and the same is taken on record.

Para No.3 of the said affidavit reads as under:-

“That police party after going to village Jajanpur returned to police station Dhand and EASI Karambir Singh recorded the DDR No. 34 to the effect that petitioner was found present at the residence of Parladh Bhagat. It is found that father of petitioner namely Mohan Singh son of Chetan Singh, Harbant Singh son of Sadhu Singh resident of village Ismailpur District Ambala alongwith parents of girl Jasprit Kaur daughter of Bhag Singh resident of village Tangerian, P.S. Naggal had visited there and had already taken girl Jaspreet from there with their mutual consent. It was also stated by her before the police of P.S. Naggal District Ambala in her statement. She also expressed her willingness to stay with her parents in statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C.”

Considering the fact that the alleged detainee, namely, Jaspreet has made a statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. before the Court and has expressed her willingness to stay with her parents, no action is required to be taken in the present petition.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

May 13, 2015
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE