

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.506 of 2015

Decided on: 21.04.2015

Blander

. . .Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

. . .Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Prakash Chahar, D.A.G., Haryana.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

On 30.03.2015, this Court passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to get released the detenues details of whom are shown in para No.3 of the petition. According to petitioner, the alleged detenues are in illegal confinement of respondents No.4 to 6.

Notice of motion for 21.04.2015.

In the meanwhile, registry is directed to appoint Warrant Officer today itself, who shall visit the place of detention of detenues and any other place which may be pointed out by the petitioner.

CRWP No.506 of 2015

In case the Warrant Officer finds that persons shown in para No.3 of the petition are detained by the private respondents illegally then they would be got released from the custody of the private respondents forthwith and their statements be recorded. In order to execute this order, the Warrant Officer may take necessary assistance from the concerned Police Station, if need arises.

The remuneration of Warrant Officer shall be borne by the petitioner.

The Warrant Officer shall submit his report on or before the next date of hearing.”

2. In pursuance to the aforesaid order, Warrant Officer visited the spot and found that owner of the brick-kiln has not forced the alleged detenues to do work on his brick-kiln only whereas they are free to do work anywhere. The alleged detenues were not interested to do work at the brick-kiln of the owner and they went away from the premises except detenues mentioned at Serial No.15, 16 and 23 as they had already gone to their village.
3. In view of aforesaid report submitted by Warrant Officer, no further action is called in this petition. However, petitioner or the alleged detenues are at liberty to take recourse to any other proceedings in accordance with law.
4. Disposed of.

[Raj Mohan Singh]
Judge

21.04.2015
sachin