

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Writ Petition No. 50 of 2015
Date of decision : September 08, 2015

Balwinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

1. Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. A.S. Trikha, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. K.S. Aulakh, AAG Punjab

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

The petitioner is seeking direction to respondent No. 1 for deciding his pre-mature release case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner fulfills the conditions of the policy and now when the matter had been decided by the Apex Court they may be given one month time to decide his case.

Learned State counsel refers to the reply filed by them and states that incomplete premature release case of the petitioner was received by them and after the necessary documents are received the case of the petitioner would be considered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he would be satisfied if directions are given to decided his case within one month.

Learned State counsel had submitted that the documents have not been received and the papers received by the them are incomplete.

The petition is disposed of with a direction that if the papers have not been received yet, a reminder shall be issued. On receipt of the papers the case of the petitioner shall be decided by the authorities concerned within 45 days thereof but not later than two months from today.

September 08, 2015

reena

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**