

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.15844 of 2012(O&M)

Date of decision :28.04.2015

Virender

..... Petitioner

VS

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Ajay Kumar Kansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms.Ranjana Shahi, Sr.Panel Counsel for respondents No.
1 and 3.

Mr.Kailash Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The candidature of the petitioner was rejected on the ground that in the application form it had been stipulated that the candidate had to sign his name and not write it in capital letters but the petitioner had written his name in capital letters. As per the respondents the facts of the present case are completely covered by the decision of this Court in CWP No.13810 of 2011, Avtar Singh v. Union of India and another decided on 30.09.2011(Annexure R-3). Learned counsel for the petitioner has sought to argue that in the present case the fact is

that the petitioner signs his name in running handwriting in capital letters and it is not a case where the petitioner has merely written his name in capital letters.

In my opinion learned counsel for the petitioner is trying to draw too fine a distinction. The respondents had stipulated that names written in capital letters would render a person ineligible and his candidature liable for rejection.

Resultantly I find that there is no distinction between the present case and the decision of this Court aforesaid. Consequently this petition is dismissed in the same terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

April 28, 2015
sunita