

253

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.430 of 2015
Date of Decision: 24.03.2015**

Amrik Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. H.S. Rakhra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arshdeep S. Kler, D.A.G., Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.

Petitioner/convict seeks extension of his parole for one month in case bearing FIR No.201 dated 30.09.2009, under Section 22 of NDPS Act, Police Station Chhajli, District Sangrur on medical grounds.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner was operated for removal of his Gall Bladder and has availed benefit of parole and his period is going to expire on 25.03.2015.

Learned counsel further states that the petitioner has developed some post-operational ill effects and for that necessary extension in parole is being sought.

In the context of non-availability of relevant provision for

extension of parole in such like situation, learned counsel argues that the petitioner would be satisfied in case Superintendent of Jail, in consultation with medical officer is directed to visualise the ailment of the petitioner/convict and in case the Authority is satisfied that petitioner requires immediate special medical treatment, then his case be considered for extension/fresh initiation of emergency parole.

In view of the above, let the petitioner surrender as per schedule of his parole and in the event of finding such an ailment which requires specialised treatment, he will be provided specialised treatment by the Authorities.

With the aforesaid direction petition stands disposed of.

March 24, 2015

Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE