

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

204

Criminal Writ Petition No. 42 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : 21.02.2015

Sanjeev

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Anmol Malik, AAG, Haryana

LISA GILL, J.

Pursuant to order dated 12.01.2015, report dated 20.02.2015 has been filed in Court today. Relevant part of the report is as under:-

“ That immediately acting on the representation, the answering respondent formed a committee consisting of Sub Divisional Magistrate, Assandh, D.S.P., Assandh, D.F.S.C., Karnal and Assistant Labour Commissioner, Karnal vide letter No. 92/MA dated 02.01.2015. The letter is annexed as Annexure R-1 for the kind perusal of the Hon'ble High court.

That Sub Divisional Magistrate, Assandh reported back vide letter No. 45/ST dated 07.01.2015. In his report Sub Divisional Magistrate, Assandh reported that he alongwith other committee members visited the spot. The applicant, his wife and daughters were not found over there. The enquiry was made to the labours present at the kiln about Sanjeev (complainant). The labours present at the brick kiln stated that they

have never seen Sanjeev (complainant) working at this kiln. The owner of the brick kiln (Pramod Kumar) stated in his statement that he do not know Sanjeev Kumar (complainant) and he has never worked at his kiln. There is no bounded labour in his brick kiln.

That at the time of spot inspection, no family member of the petitioner was found at the kiln. The labours present at the brick kiln stated that they have never seen Sanjeev (complainant) working at the kiln. The report of Sub Divisional Magistrate, Assandh is annexed as Annexure R-2 for the kind perusal of the Hon'ble High Court.”

Keeping in view the fact that this petition has been received through post and there is none to controvert the averments made in report, no further orders are called for. However, copy of this order as well as report dated 20.02.2015 alongwith annexures be conveyed to the petitioner at the given address. Liberty is afforded to the petitioner to move an application in case any of the averments made in the reply/compliance report are found to be incorrect.

Present petition is disposed of accordingly.

February 21, 2015
rts

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE