

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

CRWP No.395 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 26.03.2015.

Om Pal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. B.D. Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil K. Chopra, DAG, Punjab.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J.

Even though, report of the District Magistrate-cum-Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar has not been furnished, yet counsel for the petitioner would make a categoric submission that after issuance of notice in the instant petition, wherein prayer was for the issuance of a writ of habeas corpus, the detenues as mentioned in para 5 of the petition have since been released and they have left the brick kiln to a place of their choice and of their own accord.

As such, nothing remains for adjudication in the present petition and the same is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

26.03.2015

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**