

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP No.15587 of 2012
Date of Decision : 12.2.2015

Monika Verma

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

...

Present : Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. M.K. Sangwan, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate for respondent no.3.

...

1. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
2. Whether the judgement should be reported in Digest ?

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J

During the course of hearing, it has transpired that respondent no.3 was appointed for three years and his tenure is going to expire on 6th August 2015.

Learned counsel for the parties are ad--idem that instead of disturbing the appointment of respondent no.3 at this point of time, let the respondent-University consider the petitioner for appointment as Dean, Faculty of Education for a term of three years, immediately after the term of respondent no.3 expires i.e. in the month of August 2015.

Learned counsel for the respondent-University has fairly stated that except in the case of a senior reader than the petitioner or a professor, petitioner would be appointed as Dean, Faculty of Education, immediately after the expiry of term of respondent no.3.

The abovesaid fair statement made by learned counsel for the respondent-University satisfy the claim of the petitioner.

In view of the above said respective stands taken by learned counsel for the parties, instant writ petition is disposed of, in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent-University.

Disposed of, accordingly.

12.2.2015
GS

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE