

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

--

Crl. Writ Petition No. 191 of 2015
Date of decision: 11.02.2015

Sudhir Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: Mr. Ajay Kamboj, Advocate for
 Mr. Paramjit Singh Jammu, Advocate
 for the petitioner

-.-

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Rekha Mittal, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, on instructions from Warden Satish Kumar, Sirsa Jail, District Sirsa would state that the petitioner's parole for a period of six weeks recommended by the District Magistrate, Sirsa has been granted by the competent authority.

Counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the statement made by counsel for the State of Haryana, the petition may be dismissed having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

11.02.2015
mohan