

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
233**

CWP No. 12410 of 2013

Date of Decision: March 24, 2015

Amandeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Ms. Sonia G. Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monica Chhibbar Sharma, Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab, for the State.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court with a grievance that as per the information supplied by the respondents under the Right to Information Act, the petitioner had 2.5 marks in the interview and, therefore, would have a total of 25.50 marks, which would be the marks entitling him to selection on merits.

As per the reply filed by the respondents, the marks obtained by the petitioner in the interview are 1.5 and inadvertently, in the application under the Right to Information Act, it was mentioned that he had obtained 2.5 marks in the interview.

Counsel for the State has produced the original record and on perusal of the same, this Court finds that indeed the marks secured by the petitioner are 1.5 in the interview.

In view of the above, there being an error on the part of the respondents in supplying the information under the Right to Information Act, whereas the correct position stands depicted above, the writ petition does not survive and, therefore, the same stands dismissed.

March 24, 2015
pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE