

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
2015.03.02 19:42
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CWP No.12367 of 2013
Date of decision:27.02.2015

Anil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.Raj Kapoor Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.A.S.Chaudhary, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

Mr.A.S.Virk, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr.R.S.Cheema, Advocate for respondents No. 3 and 5.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, fairly states that in view of the intervening developments and peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, he has the instructions to say that this writ petition may be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

27.02.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE