

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH
CM No. 14373-C of 2015 in
RSA No. 4072 of 2005(O&M)
Date of decision : November 27, 2015**

Usha Rani

..... Appellant

Versus

Vinod Kumar and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:-

Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate
for the appellant.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Prayer in the application is for dismissal of the appeal in view of the compromise.

Notice of the application be issued to the respondents.

Mr. K. G. Chaudhary, Advocate who is present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and does not dispute with regard to the compromise.

Original compromise along with the affidavit taken on record. Parties to the compromise are present in Court.

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the compromise. The finding rendered on issue No.6 in the impugned

judgments and decrees of both the courts below is modified and it is held that the respondents-plaintiffs shall not claim mesne profits. The parties shall be bound by compromise.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

**November 27 , 2015
archana**