

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.15323 of 2012

Date of Decision : 23.1.2015

Neha Khanna and another

....Petitioners

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

....
Present: Mr.GPS Bal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Monica Chhibber Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Yagyadeep, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

MAHESH GROVER.J.

The petition as on today is purely on an apprehension that the petitioners' services would be dispensed with first in preference to the services of the persons who were inducted into service later on. There is, however, no material to suggest such a course to be adopted by respondents No.3 and 4. Therefore, the instant petition can at best be termed to be premature. In case any such course, which is detrimental to the services of the petitioners, is adopted, they would indeed be at liberty to approach this Court.

Dismissed as premature.

23.1.2015
dss

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE