

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1610-2015

Date of Decision: November 12, 2015

Vinod

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

By post:

Present: Mr.Yashwinder Singh, DAG, Haryana.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State has presented the report of Sub Divisional Magistrate, Pehowa, which is taken on record. He states at bar that a team under the instructions of Sub Divisional Magistrate, Pehowa, had raided the brick kiln where the petitioner and his colleagues were allegedly detained, but all the alleged detainees stated that they were not illegally detained by the brick kiln owner.

In view of the above, there is no substance in the present petition and the same is hereby dismissed.

November 12, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE