

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

241

Crl. Writ Petition No.478 of 2014

Sanjeev Kumar @ Pappu

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

AND

Crl. Writ Petition No.483 of 2014

Ashok Kumar

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Date of decision: August 13, 2015

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Varinder Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, DAG, Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

This order of mine shall dispose of Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 478 and 483 of 2014 as the facts and the law point involved in both the cases are identical.

The present petitions have been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus for

directing the respondents to release the petitioners prematurely and for setting aside the order dated 28.02.2014 (Annexure P-2) passed by Additional Chief Secretary to Government, Haryana, Jail Department whereby the case of the petitioners for premature release has been declined.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners have completed their total sentence and still their case for premature release has not been considered.

Learned State counsel submits that the case of the petitioners has not been referred to the State Level Committee constituted in compliance of order dated 23.07.2015 passed by Hon'ble the Apex Court in **Writ Petition (Criminal) No.48 of 2014**, titled as **Union of India vs. V. Shriharan @ Murugan and others**.

In view of submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners as per order passed by this Court in **Criminal Writ Petition No.1455 of 2013**, titled as **Krishan Chand and others vs. State of Haryana and others**, decided today itself i.e. 13.08.2015 within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of this order failing which the petitioners shall be entitled for interim bail subject to furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of CJM concerned till the decision is taken.

Disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

August 13, 2015
sonia g.