

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

230

Crl. Writ Petition No.135 of 2015

Date of decision: September 08, 2015

Manjit Kaur

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Mohinder Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rupam Aggarwal, DAG, Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus for production and release of detenues, namely, Avinash Singh, Manjit Singh, Inderjit Kaur and Sujana Singh who are stated to be family members of the petitioner and are in illegal custody of respondents No.3 to 6 as they have been picked up in the intervening night of 21/22.01.2015 and 27.01.2015 by a police party headed by respondents No.3 to 6.

While issuing notice of motion on 29.01.2015, Warrant officer was appointed who was directed to visit the places as pointed out by the petitioner

and to get the alleged detenues released, if they are found in illegal detention of respondents No.3 to 6.

In response thereto, Warrant Officer has submitted his report dated 31.01.2015 wherein it has been mentioned that he, along with the petitioner and his other relatives visited the police station and thereafter, went to the room of Munshi and collected DD Register wherein it has been found mentioned that a case had already been registered against the detenues and entry in this regard had already been made at Sr. No.28 dated 28.01.2015 under Sections 107/151 Cr.P.C. It has also been mentioned therein that the alleged detenues were produced before the DCP Jalandhar and under the orders of DCP Jalandhar, they were sent to Jail(Judicial Custody) upto 11.02.2015.

In view of the report submitted by the Warrant Officer, nothing survives in the present petition and the same being devoid of any merit is hereby dismissed.

However, in case, the petitioner is still aggrieved with the report of the Warrant Officer, he is at liberty to avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

September 08, 2015
sonia g.